

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Richard R. Schrock M0925.70139US00/TJO 8608 10/646,355 08/22/2003 EXAMINER 06/03/2004 Timothy J. Oyer, Ph.D. ACQUAH, SAMUEL A Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210 1711

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	***		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
,			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) Objected to by the	Examiner.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er		
Approacion i aporo			
Application Papers			
o) Ciaim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
,—			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.			
/ <u> </u>			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
, ,,	WIT HOLL CONSIDERATION.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.			
Disposition of Glatins			
Disposition of Claims			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 0.6. 213.	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the ments	IS
		ecoution as to the media	io
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	•	
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 	<u>_</u> ·		
Status			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ng date of this communication, even if timely filed	, may reduce any	
aner SIA (s) MONT IST from the intaining date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a ret If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut	e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	the mailing date of this communicati	ion.
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. 	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim	nely filed	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI	.Y IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM	
• •		_,	
Period for Reply	pears on the series errors was the	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		orrespondence address	
	SAMUEL A. ACQUAH	1711	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Cxammer	711 01111	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	10/646,355	SCHROCK ET AL.	•
	Application its		())
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	

Application/Control Number: 10/646,355

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,889,128 and 6,316,555. This is a double patenting rejection.
 - 3. Other references listed on PTO-1449 have been made part of the record.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMUEL A. ACQUAH whose telephone number is 571-272-1065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, FRIDAYS OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAMES SEIDLECK can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,355

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.A.A. 06/01/04

> SAMUEL A. ACQUAH PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200 /70 0